
Natur Über Alles & Ride The Tiger

DER EINZIGE
JUN 21, 2025

74 7 8 Share



I decided to post both of these together as neither is particularly long & to make up for my lack of articles.

Natur Über Alles

"In actual operation Nature is cruel and merciless to men, as to all other beings. Let a tribe of human animals live a rational life, Nature will smile upon them and their posterity; but let them attempt to organize an unnatural mode of existence, an equality elysium, and they will be punished even to the point of extermination." -Ragnar Redbeard

The primary issue with many today is attempting to form an accurate worldview, one that can reasonably carry them through life & allow them to predict the future. This isn't just a topic among those who typically take interest in ideological or political issues, you can see it in virtually everyone you may encounter. This is most striking in young men, specifically the White working class. Given the prevailing ideological outlook on this demographic, it's not surprising.

The typical questions are along the lines of "Why is the world like this?" or "Why does so & so act like this?" Another common theme for those who are more strongly opinionated is "Why doesn't society look the way I think it should?" - que the whining & moralizing. Regardless, I think most are sympathetic towards this issue, mainly because they are asking the same questions or at least did at some point. The reality is that the prevailing worldview of our society for the last several decades (& arguably 1500 years) simply doesn't hold up in reality. Lofty ideals of racial equality & harmony don't prepare you for the racial tensions & violence across the world. Christian notions of passivity & civility don't explain why the Congo is almost entirely Christian but still a millennium behind secular in Northern Europe. For religious types, the smart ones will have a sense of acceptance. Whether it's a Hindu or Christian, they can at least admit that their ideals are transcendental & have no place in the mundane world itself. This is fine for whatever spiritual needs one feels the need to satisfy, but is pretty useless for actually navigating the world.

All this does is lead to a sort of dissonance, a constant state of neurotic panic as you watch "evil" trample over the "good," both terms being completely relative & their meanings shifting like the tides in the ocean. The issue of today, of 'modernity' if you will, is that the prevailing worldview is so far from the Natural Order that we've reached a critical mass where it's no longer sustainable. Looking around, what we may call modern Leftism but what I will refer to here as antinature seems to fall into a fit of hysteria any time something natural is valued. This is a visceral response, & it's easy to see why. For all its faults, institutional Christianity never fully separated itself from reality. The Church generally had a somber acceptance that the world would never look like the Christian ideal. When the reality that existence is a constant struggle came, they set aside the passivity & traded meekness for the military orders. The modern form of the antinatural tendency is not so level headed, its hysteria is matched only by the most insane Puritan cults. This is because the antinatural tendency received a strong resistance during the 18th & 19th centuries through figures like Nietzsche, Darwin & Hitler. Theorists & leaders who looked reality in the face & accepted it fully.

This resistance came to an end on the streets of Berlin in 1945. Not only the institution of the National Socialist Party & Hitler's Germany, but the ideas of the Natural Order itself. It was from this point on that ideas like eugenics, race science, & the virtue of strength became demonized. The aforementioned figures became heretics at best & the modern incarnation of evil at worst. The tendency for people to be fond of nature could not be killed though, it's strong enough to be called a natural instinct. A healthy human specimen will always be partial to what is natural rather than artificial, the easiest example is when purchasing foodstuffs. As such, the priests of the antinature simply clothed themselves in the skin of nature. If you were to look into the Wiki pages of topics like Racism or Social Darwinism, you will often find that there's a claim like "modern science has disproven this theory, actually." For those two especially, the disproval is usually bogus. At best, it's a play on words by shifting definitions or ignoring the core idea itself in favor of a self-constructed caricature. At worst, it's an outright lie where a group of Leftist ideologues simply decided that the theory was wrong on moral grounds rather than any actual scientific evidence. This still happens today with human genetic research & national crime data.

Regardless, the books are already written & government policy was already made. It is freely available & easily found. Better yet, the rise of social media has made it possible to truly see reality as is. Truly see how Africans behave or what the historically "oppressed" nations of the Third World are actually capable of now that they aren't a slave caste. This, in my opinion, has driven the rise of a continuously evolving RW, but that's for another day. The point of forming a worldview around what is natural is because it is empirically true, it can be easily understood by looking through the historic state of mankind & verified by looking at the modern situation. Therefore, it can actually be of use in decision making from personal life choices to long term government planning. When you try to do this with any transcendental or simply erroneous worldviews you will find nothing but frustration or a begrudging sense of disdain for reality as it is.

This is most easily seen in the modern Left, which for now is the most vehemently antinatural movement to date. When looking through the various issues they theorize about, notice that they will always pick the option that would be disastrous in a state of nature. Their men are eunuchs, their women infertile. They champion the most worthless organisms that can be called "human" while decrying the species' upper echelons. The crowning virtue of this ilk is passivity, a complete state of harmlessness where you can type away on a computer without the distractions of sexual or violent energy. They will simply pull the wool over their eyes & deny that there's any sort of differences between individuals & groups, the idea that some may be superior to others is blasphemy. Although there's no real distinction between 'ideology' & religion, the modern Left has become fanatical enough to be referred to as a runaway sect of Puritanism that traded God for Equality & decided to include the miscreants & evolutionary dead ends that even the Church wasn't fond of. This isn't to say the 'Conservative' types are any better, they just have different charity cases.

An often repeated line is something to the effect of "*You have no idea how much violence it took to become this peaceful.*" It's a nice sentiment, but only really showcases how this unnatural order came to become dominant. It was not out of any of its own merits, but by riding on the backs of vicious warriors & imperialists who saw the world with sober eyes. Even today, the whining & moralizing of oxygen wasters & meek-minded bourgeoisie pseudo-intellectuals is propped up by a military force that none of them take an active part in. This is true of priests following soldiers to spread the "good word" after the bloodshed, as it is for New England WASPs scolding the Whites who couldn't buy their way out of the ethnic jungles about racism. The abbreviated history of "civilized man" is one of constant backstabbing by cowards who hide far from the front, against the unfashionable barbarians that never grew ignorant of struggle. As the old adage goes, "*Rats get fat while brave men die.*"

The question is, what do we do about this? What manner of statecraft will bring us closer to the Natural Order? What fringe ideology (that has never ever been properly tried before) will save us? The short answer is nothing, the long answer is anything. The bookworms among you are probably familiar with Cormac McCarthy, especially his more well known works like *No Country For Old Men* or *Blood Meridian*. A consistent theme in several of his works, especially the ladder mentioned, is that the villain is usually completely correct in relation to the world they exist in. McCarthy himself doesn't necessarily agree with them on moral grounds, but the world itself usually justifies them. For *Blood Meridian* specifically, the Judge is repeatedly vindicated throughout the story. He is successful & his philosophy is proven accurate. The Boy, & other more "moral" characters generally fail, while their 'goodness' is treated as something more transcendental that bears no fruit in life. It's an interesting dynamic once you notice it, a similar situation as the Judge can be seen in Anton Chigurh.

I bring this up because the Natural Order long predates ideology, even the concept of the state & humanity itself. It takes massive social & technological systems to even attempt to break away from. In the absence of these, it quickly returns. It is a natural drive, it rests on innate characteristics that exist in the blood of all living organisms. Entire libraries of theory & ideological exhortations will be quickly forgotten, the iron laws of the universe will exist regardless of anyone's opinion. As empires rise & fall, the trees still grow & wolves still hunt. The stars in the night sky have watched the Scythians rip across the steppe & guerrilla encampments get wiped out in Colombia. From a purely ideological standpoint, there's no need to worry about whether or not the ideals continue on. They will, regardless of whether anyone wants them to. The issue is whether or not your tribe & bloodline accepts this or not. The primary political issue of our age is the annihilation of unnatural sentiments & ideologies, the ones that lead us to our current hellscape & continue to carry us towards a slow death. It's nice to play pretend about a perfect world, where we can create our 100% perfect society where everyone just "gets it," but it's also completely useless. Depending on how fond you are of modernity, you're either lucky or unlucky to exist in its decline.

"Inaction is the last choice of every dying victim"

"If, in the past, our ancestors had based their political decisions on the same pacifist nonsense as our present generation does, we should not possess more than one-third of the national territory that we possess today and probably there would be no German nation to worry about its future in Europe." -Adolf Hitler

Despite the constant whining about some obscure notions of "degeneracy," or something similar, the primary evil of our age is pacifism. There's a tangible line of reasoning to blame Christianity, but even they used to be more than willing to bear their fangs when the time came. Modern pacifism is a distinctly modern phenomenon, gaining ground among the political Left after the French Revolution & reaching its apogee in the post-war era. It's arguably the defining characteristic of Liberal Democracy, lending an ear to those too weak or cowardly to grab power themselves. A rampant sentiment of pity leading to a quasi-religious worship of the worst specimens of humanity. Inevitably, this lead to a culture of civilizational suicide where we allow the mongrel hordes to flood over the walls & foreign ethnicities to take power.

In the past year or so, I've seen a constant overuse of everyone's least favorite buzzword - "aristocratic." Like most things the modern RW attempts to cling to, it's a purely superficial & culturally necrophiliac sentiment. For most, their idea of "aristocracy" consists of higher quality consumer goods & social standing. When they say "aristocracy," what they really mean is *bourgeoisie*. It's a post-French Revolution idea of stereotypical art snobs sipping expensive wine. The reality of the matter is that true aristocrats, the master classes of any great civilization, emerged from bloodshed. Whether it be Spartans subjugating their Helots, or Gauls lending their lives to their most impressive tribesman.

> The journalist Steven Sailer recounts an exchange from early 20th-century England: "A hereditary member of the British House of Lords complained that Prime Minister Lloyd George had created new Lords solely because they were self-made millionaires who had only recently acquired large acreages. When asked, "How did your ancestor become a Lord?" he replied sternly, "With the battle-ax, sir, with the battle-ax!"

This was an excerpt from *The Better Angels of Our Nature* by Steven Plinker. It's a good answer to the question of "what happened to the nobility?" The reality is that they're more or less gone, & have long since faded into the general population. This is a good showcase of what happened to the British aristocracy, you can look into the French Revolution & collapse of the German Empire to see what happened there. Cases like France or Russia are somewhat poor examples, as they simply failed to fight off what was essentially widespread slave revolts. Examples like Britain are better because you can watch the aristocracy become decadent & suffer the consequences without outside interference.

Regardless, these aristocracies never formed because they were a bit smarter or had more refined tastes than the peasantry. These are just second order effects. The defining trait among aristocracies, what truly set them apart from the masses & garnered respect, was courage. This is the principle virtue, the be all end all. It trumps even more tangible traits like strength or cunning, as both of these were useless in the hands of a coward. Power belongs to those who take it, the future belongs to those who show up. This means those with a capacity for violence that is absolutely alien to the sensibilities of the modern West.

Drug lords like Pablo Escobar or the Haitian cannibal warlord known as Barbecue have a better claim to the word "aristocrat" than anyone in the modern Royal Family.

You see, modern democracy was envisioned as a surrogate for warfare. Unlike other aspects of liberal ideology, it can easily exist without pandering to the weak. The same is true for the concept of a debate, or argument. It's a safe way of dealing with disagreements rather than just killing one another. The issue is that this only works in a society that is entirely homogeneous, both genetically & ideologically/culturally. It also only works when voting power is limited to a very select minority, originally this would have only been noblemen but gradually devolved into everyone. This was lethal to our societies, it gave power to the lowest elements of society in the name of equality. The aristocracy making the agreement to talk things out instead of killing each other is one thing, giving the vote to people who would never have the capacity to fight at all is insanity.

Fortunately, for our purposes, the bulk of our enemies fall into this group. In previous eras, our enemies at least had a degree of willpower in advancing their goals. Socialist revolutionaries like Che Guevara or abolitionist terrorists like John Brown. They don't make them like they used to. For the most part, our enemies are overwhelmingly fat, mentally ill, disabled, weak & ignorant of struggle. They can't fight & rarely reproduce. The best of them might own a low quality rifle, the worst of them start crying when outdoors for too long. They're either meek to the point of irrelevance or crazed to the point of being their own biggest threat.

Regardless of whatever religious or ideological position you use to judge the world, the iron laws of nature are only concerned with whether you can fight & fuck. Failing in these two tasks is a step towards enslavement &/or death.

"He who would live must fight. He who doesn't wish to fight in this world, where permanent struggle is the law of life, has not the right to exist." -Adolf Hitler

The Biological Worldview

This term is usually a description of doctrinal National Socialism, specifically it's racial theory. Emphasis should be placed on the term "worldview," to separate it from any petty political theory or systemization.

> *"The National Socialist worldview should better be called the National Socialist understanding of the world! It is not a philosophical construction to help the individual understand the world, to give him a place to stand, but rather it is the knowledge, it is the facts, of how the world really is, independent of the standpoint of an observer.*
>
> *What we see as race and blood, and what we battle as the great enemy of this blood, is based not merely on our opinion, but rather on scientifically proven knowledge."*
>
> **- Dr. Robert Ley**

As I've written before, National Socialism did not come into being in a void. It was not the wild ideas of some reactionary group dissatisfied with liberal democracy. It was the ultimate end of the ideas of figures like Darwin, Haeckel, Nietzsche, the entirety of fields like biology & anthropology, the eugenics movement at its peak, the early days of evolutionary theory, etc. Regardless of the opinions of leftist intellectuals & moralizers, these figures & areas of study never went away & using flowery rhetoric to soften the blow, or mislead readers from following things to their logical conclusions, only lasts so long.

More importantly, being in denial about something doesn't make it false. You can pretend the sun won't rise tomorrow, it won't stop it from doing so. As such, you can believe what you want about biological determinism among humans, it will carry on regardless. All you really accomplish by denying it is removing from yourself a valuable predictive asset & thus limiting your own problem solving abilities. This isn't exactly news to anyone, topics like race realism & old HBD talking points are practically prerequisites to be considered RW nowadays even in the intellectual cesspit known as X™ (formerly Twitter™) dot com.

What many are still struggling to do is apply this same logic to the rest of human social interaction. Ignorance, or disdain, for nature is arguably the primary cause for intersexual & intergenerational conflicts. I promise that any question to the effect of "*Why do X act like this?*" can be answered by skimming through a biology textbook. By understanding a person firstly as a biological specimen, you lay down the only functional foundation to understand them, just as a breeder understands their animals. This functions just as well on yourself.

Ride The Tiger



Most of the modern RW, from random anons online to elected officials, can best be described as Sisyphus. Alternatively, a drunk person trying to light a cigarette with an empty lighter. The obvious offenders are anyone with conservative or traditionalist tendencies, I've written about them & their inevitable failures several times before. However, much of the more "progressive" types are not much better. It's still the majority position that we do all of this in order to "save the West" or something to that effect. Depending on one's personal preferences, this means a reversion to the cultural norms & aesthetics of a perceived "peak," generally somewhere between the Middle Ages & 1980's. Some stragglers believe that it all went to shit with Charlemagne, others believe that Woodstock '99 was the apogee of the story of man. All of them are wrong, you live in the greatest moment that has ever or will occur. Nothing prior can be proven to have happened, nothing after is real.

The steppe warriors that, regrettably, failed to extinguish the Dravidians in another æon left their wisdom of the Yuga cycles. Hesiod & Ovid told similar tales, their Metallurgic Ages are effectively the same concept. Spengler later made a more practical, & less spiritual, framework relating to civilizations' life cycles rather than indefinite periods of millions of years. The Hindu's Kali Yuga, the Mediterranean's Iron Age & Spengler's Winter can all be easily compared to Nietzsche's prophecized two centuries of Nihilism. All spoke of an unavoidable dark age, where men search for gods & find nothing.

Contrary to popular belief, Nietzsche's nihilism did not refer to some cynical worldview or materialistic atheism. His nihilism was in the context of civilizations, entire cultures, having no central beliefs. It is not about the lack of meaning, but an overwhelming plurality of meaning. Everyone has a God, but they exist alone & have little in common.

Even within specific communities this rings true. You will find a million different worldviews within those who call themselves NatSocs. Ask around any church & you will find a different religion among every member of the congregation. Asking about Hitler or Jesus will tell you more about the person you're speaking to than the figures themselves. It's possibly always been like this, even 1936 Germany or the among the 12 Apostles, but this individuality of personal beliefs only becomes stronger during the decline.

The point of all this is that vague ideas like "saving the West" or constructing a society along the lines of some niche, hyperspecific ideology is impossible. Whether you want to whine endlessly online or put up stickers on some local streetlight. Walk down the street & ask people, at random, what their view of the West is. Ask them their spiritual views. Ask them what love is. You will get a million different answers. To emphasize how pervasive this is, one can look at the music industry. For many centuries music was simply the traditional folk songs shared by a culture, from villages to kingdoms. In the modern era, this became the pop culture icons of rock & pop. Generational figures like The Beetles or Michael Jackson. Now, there is no unified pop music, no shared experience. Look through the top hits of the last few years & you likely will have never heard of virtually any of them. Virtually everyone has a remarkably niche palette in some way or another, not to mention the short lifespans of any song that gets popular. Similar situations can be seen in fashion & other cultural matters. These aren't super important on their own, I simply use this as an example of the cultural atomization that pervades the age of nihilism, the decline.

This isn't meant to be a blackpill, or otherwise demoralizing. It's simply a reality check. Regardless of whatever nostalgia (or cultural necrophilia) one may have for times gone by, or issues one may have with modernity, it was more or less inevitable. Civilizations are ultimately organic life forms, just as much as any other social phenomenon or living being. As such, they will eventually die. Just as Rome or any other culture. There was never any way to escape this fate. The times that are idolized by us today will soon be forgotten, new objects of escapism will take their place. Life goes on.

Kali Yuga is said to end with Kalki, an apocalyptic figure who will cause unspeakable destruction but bring forth the next golden age. Devoid of any spiritual significance, the Kalki figure is useful as a poetic interpretation of the final blow to a dying world. Figures like Alexander, Napoleon, Lenin or Hitler, even non-personal groups like the Indo-Aryans or Sea Peoples, can be seen in this way. A Promethean force that destroys the decadent old order & ushers in something new, shaping reality to their will. Historically, this has been accompanied by grandiose violence. All we can hope for is that this figure comes from within the tribe, rather than a foreign conquest.

Despite the hairline fractures spreading through the current system by the day, there's still little sign of a conqueror coming to lay waste to the deleterious oxygen thieves & surplus pseudo-elites that are hardwired to drag humanity to hell. This leaves us to deal with the issues at hand on our own. Other's have written a million essays giving advice on how to navigate this situation. Characteristically, this advice generally has nothing in common with similar works & betrays more about the author than anything. The only valid advice that can be given is to man up. No one actually cares about your financial woes or lack of a love life, vice immediate family or close friends. No one is coming to save you, the ball is only ever in your court.

Despite its drawbacks, the most beautiful thing about living in a time where nothing matters is that nothing matters. Dress how you want, listen to what you want, do whatever. If you fuck things up badly enough, die in a police shootout. As you read this, someone is going 170mph down the highway on a motorcycle. Some chick is snorting coke in a club bathroom. Someone's about to start a fight they stand no chance at winning in a dive bar parking lot. Risk aversion is a sin, as is spending your time bitching online. Millennia of evolution created a creature with an astonishing amount of violent, sexual & artistic power. Don't waste it.

"What we say will soon be forgotten, what we do will echo in eternity."

Hail Victory.

Subscribe to ICØNØCLAST
By Der Einzige · Launched a year ago
Newsletter to spread the word of Revolutionary National Socialism & monitor the Collapse. Hail Victory.
Type your email... Subscribe
By subscribing, I agree to Substack's Terms of Use, and acknowledge its Information Collection Notice and Privacy Policy.

74 Likes • 8 Restacks

74 7 8 Share

Discussion about this post

Comments Restacks
Write a comment...

opjrgdwer90 Jun 21 *Edited*
Liked by Der Einzige
If I were to convert someone to national socialism, even a leftist, I'd tell them to subscribe to Einzige and read through your articles. No one communicates the worldview as a cool new answer to modernity as well as you.
LIKE (14) REPLY SHARE
2 replies

Duke Normann Jun 22
Liked by Der Einzige
This is one of your best articles. I think they have only gotten better since the first few you published.
LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

5 more comments...

Top Latest Discussions

Depopulation
This is another article taking aim at something that's generally associated with the left, and recontextualizing it.
SEP 26, 2024 • DER EINZIGE

Zero Tolerance
Stop pretending enemies are friends.
AUG 1, 2024 • DER EINZIGE

Winds of Change
America, & Europe, have moved massively to the Right.
MAR 2 • DER EINZIGE

See all

Discover more from ICØNØCLAST
Newsletter to spread the word of Revolutionary National Socialism & monitor the Collapse. Hail Victory.
Enter your email...
Subscribe
By subscribing, I agree to Substack's Terms of Use, and acknowledge its Information Collection Notice and Privacy Policy.
Already have an account? Sign in